arXiv:1610.07158v2 [math.DG] 6 Nov 2016

ORTHOGONAL PROJECTION OF A TEST CONFIGURATION TO VECTOR FIELDS

TOMOYUKI HISAMOTO

Abstract. Given a polarized complex manifold, the projection of a torus equivariant test configuration to holomorphic vector fields was introduced by [Szé06]. With a generalization to any subgroup we show that it interprets the L^2-orthogonal projection of the associated weak geodesic ray's tangent vector to the space of Hamilton functions. Related to this, we discuss about the reduced L^p-norm of the test configuration in attempt to describe the uniform K-stability of the polarization relative to the automorphism group.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminary materials 4
3. Quantized orthogonal projection 7
4. Characterization of product test configurations 14
References 16

1. Introduction

Let (X, L) be an n-dimensional complex polarized manifold. Our background is the space of Kähler metrics which are in the fixed first Chern class $c_1(L)$. By dd^c-lemma, it is equivalent to consider the collection of all fiber metrics $e^{-2\varphi}$ with positive curvature $\omega_\varphi := dd^c\varphi$, which we denote by $\mathcal{H}$. Tangent vectors on $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ are identified with a smooth function on X so that the integration by the Monge-Ampère measure

$$\langle u, v\rangle_\varphi := \int_X uv\omega_\varphi^n/n!$$

defines a natural Riemannian structure on $\mathcal{H}$. Algebraically a geodesic ray should be regarded as a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant flat family of $\mathbb{Q}$-polarized schmes $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathbb{C}$ with generic fiber isomorphic to (X, L). Such a degeneration called test configuration is important to study various stabilities in classical Geometric Invariant Theory and it also plays a roll testing K-stability of a polarized manifold. Indeed given a test configuration with $\mathcal{X}$ normal one can attach a *weak* geodesic ray φ^t as a pull-back (by the action of e^t)

Date: November 8, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C55, Secondary: 32Q26, 32Q20.

of the unique solution Φ for the Monge-Ampère equation. That is a local fiber metric $e^{-2\Phi}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying

$$(dd^c\Phi)^{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{X}_{|\tau|\leqslant 1},$$

with a Dirichlet boundary value which corresponds to the initial point.

The most special case is a product test configuration, which is a product family with $\mathbb{C}^*$-action generated by a holomorphic vector field $v \in \mathfrak{h}(X,L)$. In fact, in parallel to the Hilbert-Mumford criterion in GIT Donaldson defined K-stability generalizing the classical Futaki character defined over $\mathfrak{h}(X,L)$ to the Donaldson-Futaki invariant $\mathrm{DF}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})$ attached to each test configuration.

At this point we are led to study "angle" between a test configuration and a vector field by the above inner product. The algebraic formulation was already introduced by [Szé06] in order to define his uniform K-stability. Let us review it briefly. For the purpose it was revealed natural to restrict ourselves to equivariant test configurations. While in [Szé06] a maximal torus is chosen, we like to take a general reductive subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}^0(X,L)$ and denote the reduced Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{h}(X,L)$. A test configuration $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})$ with a G-action is called G-equivariant if it preserves each fiber, is commutative with $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, and identified with the natural G-action to (X,L) on $(\mathcal{X}_1,\mathcal{L}_1)$. Any G-equivariant product test configuration is then generated by some vector in the center $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. We denote the set of such one-parameter subgroups by N. The natural embedding $N \subset Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ gives $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0) = N \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We define $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Now let $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})$ be a G-equivariant test configuration. For any sufficiently divisible $k \geqslant 1$, the induced action λ_k on the central fiber $(\mathcal{X}_0,,\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k})$ defines $A_k \in \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0,,\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}))$ as the trace-free part of the generator. On the other hand G-equivariance defines a faithful Lie-algebra representation $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0) \to \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0,,\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k})^\vee)$. Under the identification of $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and its image of the dual representation we may consider the canonical Killing form which yields the orthogonal projection

$$P_k : \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0,,\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k})) \to Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to show that this algebraic projections in fact gives the following analytic projection for the associated weak geodesics, as it supports Székelyhidi's insight. For a fixed Käher form $\omega = dd^c\varphi^0$ any vector field $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ defines a unique complex Hamilton function characterized by the property $\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}u = i_v\omega$ and the normalization $\int_X u\omega^n = 0$. We will take the reference metric ω in this paper $S := N \otimes \mathbb{S}^1$ invariant. It then follows that the normalized complex Hamilton function takes real values for any $v \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. We denote the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space consists of such functions as $\mathrm{Ham}_0(Z(\mathfrak{g}_0),\omega)$. It is naturally contained in the space of normalized square integrable functions $L^2_0(X,\omega^n)$. Given a geodesic ray φ^t emanating from φ^0, the orthogonal projection

$$P : L^2_0(X,\omega^n) \to \mathrm{Ham}_0(Z(\mathfrak{g}_0),\omega)$$

defines the "projection of the tangent vector" $P(\dot{\varphi}^0)$.

Theorem A. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ be a G-equivariant normal test configuration. Take a weak geodesic ray φ^t associated with $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ and an initial metric $\omega = dd^c\varphi^0$ which is S-invariant. Then, for any integer $p \geqslant 1$ the moment convergence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} P_k(A_k)^p}{k^p N_k} = \frac{1}{V}\int_X P(\dot{\varphi}^0)^p \omega^n$$

holds.

When the test configuration is generated by a vector filed, the formula is a consequence of equivariant Chern-Weil theory as it was explained by [Szé06]. The above theorem gives a generalization of [His12] where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{0\}$ case was treated. The argument in the present paper gives another proof for that theorem.

Let us explain for our related discussion about K-stability. The restriction to the G-equivariant test configurations seems a bit strong but admissible. It is because if there exists a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric $G = \operatorname{Aut}^0(X, L)$ is reductive by the Matsushima-Lichnerowicz theorem and then the Donaldson-Futaki invariant invariant vanishes on the semisimple part $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ since it defines a character.

Setting

$$\|(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})\|_p = \left(\frac{1}{V}\int_X \left|\dot{\varphi}^0\right|^p \omega^n\right)^{1/p},$$

we say a polarized manifold is *uniformly* K-stable if there exists a positive constant δ such that

$$\mathrm{DF}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geqslant \delta \|(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})\|_1$$

holds for any normal test configuration. The notion of uniform K-stability (relative to a maximal torus) was first introduced [Szé06]. We have to take $p \leqslant \frac{n}{n-1}$ otherwise there is no uniformly K-stable polarized manifold for $n \geqslant 3$ (see [Szé06] and [BHJ15]). The exponent $p = 1$ has speciality here in relation with the coercivity property of the K-energy. The theory of such new stability was developed as one can seen in [Szé06], [Der14a], [Der14b], [BBJ15], [BHJ15], [DR15], [BHJ16], and [BDL16]. Especially it was shown by [BDL16] that if the reduced automorphism group is discrete, any polarized manifold (X, L) admitting a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric is uniformly K-stable. However, when $\operatorname{Aut}^0(X, L)/\mathbb{C}^*$ is not discrete the above norm does not work to specify the existence of a special metric. The situation lets us analytically define the reduced norm as follows. Set $P^\perp := \mathrm{id} - P$ as the projection to the orthogonal complement and

$$\|(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})\|_{p/\mathfrak{g}} := \left(\frac{1}{V}\int_X \left|P^\perp(\dot{\varphi}^0)\right|^p \omega^n\right)^{1/p}.$$

By Theorem A it coincides with the algebraic definition of [Szé06] where he treated with a maximal torus. Coercivity result such as [BDL16] strongly motivates our formalism with general $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^0(X, L)$. (See also the explanation below Definition 3.7.) The following result we will show supports the above definition of the norm for it gives a characterization of product test configurations.

Theorem B. Let $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^0(X, L)$ be an algebraic subgroup and denote the reduced Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Then a G-equivariant normal test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is product

coming from the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if the reduced norm $\|(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})\|_{p/\mathfrak{g}}$ is zero for some $p \geqslant 1$.

When $p = 2$ and G is a maximal torus the result was independently proved in [CS16]. In fact once noting that the projection $P(\varphi^0)$ defines a rational element we may easily reduce it to $\mathfrak{h}(X,L) = \{0\}$ case where the result was obtained by[BHJ15] and [Der14a]. The reduced norm is determined by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on the central fiber so that it immediately yields:

Corollary C. A G-equivariant test configuration is product coming from the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if the central fiber $(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{L}_0)$ is G-equivariantly isomorphic to (X,L) with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action to the center.

Acknowledgment. The author express his gratitude to Yuji Sano for his indicating the original idea of [FM95]. We discussed during our stay in Banff center where the workshop "Complex Monge-Ampère Equations on Compact Kähler Manifolds" was held in the spring, 2014. We are grateful to their support. The author also wishes to thank Robert Berman, Sébastien Boucksom, Mattias Jonsson, Yasufumi Nitta, Shinnosuke Okawa, and David Witt Nyström for their helpful comments. Especially in communication with Berman and Jonsson we were made to realize the necessity of G-equivariance in our formalism and their equivarent (but seems to be more direct) definition of the reduced norm. The author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15H06262.

2. Preliminary materials

2.1. **Automorphism group, Hamilton function.** We starts from reviewing the basic materials for the automorphism group and Hamiltonnian action. It seems that involved definitions are sometimes confused in literatures so I believe it is helpful to organize things here. In this paper X always stands for a smooth complex projective variety and L is an ample line bundle on X. We denote the automorphism group of L by $\mathrm{Aut}(X,L)$ and mainly focus on the identity component $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X,L)$. The reduced automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X,L)/\mathbb{C}^*$ is embedded to $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$: the automorphism group of X. For any $k \geqslant 1$ the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X,L)$ naturally acts on $H^0(X,L^{\otimes k})$ so that $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X,L)$ shifts $s \in H^0(X,L^{\otimes k})$ in the manner:

$$(g^*s)(x) := (g^{\otimes k})^{-1}(s(gx)), \tag{2.1}$$

where $g^{\otimes k} : L^{\otimes k} \to L^{\otimes k}$ is the induced automorphism. It defines the canonical representation $\mathrm{Aut}(X,L) \to \mathrm{GL}(H^0(X,L^{\otimes k})^\vee)$ which is faithful for $k \gg 1$ by the ampleness. It particularly shows that $\mathrm{Aut}(X,L)$ is an algebraic group ($\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is not!).

The reduced Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{h}(X,L) := \mathfrak{aut}(X,L)/\mathbb{C}$$

consists of holomorphic vector fields which can be lifted to L. Once a Kähler form $\omega \in c_1(L)$ is fixed, the lifting property for a vector field $v \in \mathfrak{h}(X)$ is equivalent to the existence of a complex Hamilton function u which is characterized by

$$\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}u = i_v\omega.$$

We refer [Don02] for the proof. Just like v, u is unique up to the constant. We say u is normalized if it satisfies $\int_X u\omega^n = 0$. Notice that the above characterization in particular implies $\mathfrak{h}(X, L^{\otimes k}) = \mathfrak{h}(X, L)$ and hence $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X, L^{\otimes k}) = \mathrm{Aut}^0(X, L)$ for any exponent $k \geqslant 1$.

Let us consider for while a subtorus $T = S_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathrm{Aut}^0(X, L)/\mathbb{C}^*$ with a compact real form S. It is convenient to consider S as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X, L)/\mathbb{C}^*$ in the way that the inclusion map sends $\mathfrak{s}$ to $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{t}$. We will take ω S-invariant. Then u is constant for $v \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{s}$, since the Cartan's formula yields

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u = d(\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}u) = di_v\omega = L_v\omega.$$

For a general $v = v_r + v_i \in \mathfrak{s} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{s}$ it moreover says $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u = L_{v_r}\omega$. We observe that u is a real function modulo the constant because the right-hand side is a real form. In the sequel we will take specific $S := N \otimes \mathbb{S}^1$, where N is the set of one-parameter subgroups to $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Finally, the differential representation $\mathfrak{aut}(X, L) \to \mathfrak{gl}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^\vee)$ induces

$$\mathfrak{h}(X, L) \to \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^\vee).$$

This map is the starting point of our study. For each vector $v \in \mathfrak{aut}(X, L)$ the image of $-v$ by the dual representation $\mathfrak{aut}(X, L) \to \mathfrak{gl}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}))$ is called the generator. In the next subsection we extend the formalism to general equivariant test configurations. Anyhow, it essentially requires us to consider bundle morphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(X, L)$ while $\mathfrak{h}(X, L)$ is adopted to our purpose thanks to the non-degenerate Killing form .

2.2. **Test configurations and equivariant embeddings.** We shall introduce a test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ as a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant flat family of $\mathbb{Q}$-polarized schemes, with generic fiber isomorphic to (X, L). In this note the total space $\mathcal{X}$ is always assumed to be normal, while the central fiber is very singular, not even reduced. As it was shown by [LX11], the normality assumption is in fact necessary to exclude a pathological example for which conclusion of Theorem B does not hold.

We denote the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action by λ. The induced action $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{GL}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}))$ defines $A'_k \in \mathfrak{gl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}))$ such that $\lambda^\vee(e^{-t}) = \exp(tA'_k)$ holds for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We call it a generator. As a standard fact of representation theory A'_k is diagonalized to $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda'_1, \dots \lambda'_{N_k})$ in a chosen basis. Following [Don02], we define the Donaldson-Futaki invariant as the coefficient in the subleading term of the asymptotic expansion

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \lambda'_i}{kN_k} = F_0 + F_1 k^{-1} + O(k^{-2}).$$

Namely, $\mathrm{DF}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) := F_1$. In the present note, however, we rather mind the trace-free part

$$A_k := A'_k - \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\, A_k}{N_k}\,\mathrm{id}$$

with weights $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i - N_k^{-1}\sum \lambda'_i$ and focus on the limit behavior of $\mathrm{Tr}\, A_k^p$.

The most basic examples are product test configurations. We call $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ product if $\mathcal{X} \simeq X \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{L} \simeq p_1^* L$ holds. It is equivalent to give a one-parameter subgroup (1-PS in short) $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{Aut}^0(X, L)$, which uniquely defines a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on the product

space in the equivariant way. A general test configuration can be regarded as a natural generalization of such a 1-PS as one takes an equivariant embedding. Actually, for any $r \geqslant 1$ any 1-PS $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{GL}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes r}))$ and its dual $\lambda = (\lambda^\vee)^\vee$ defines a test configuration as the Zariski closure of the orbit:

$$\mathcal{X} := \overline{\cup_{\tau \in \mathbb{C}^*} \lambda(\tau)(X) \times \{\tau\}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes r})^\vee) \times \mathbb{C},$$

endowed with the $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}(1/r)\big|_{\mathcal{X}}$. If one forcuses on the central fiber one isomorphism $H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}) \simeq H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ is obtained from this construction. Let us take a sufficiently divisible $k \geqslant 1$ and an equivariant embedding

$$I_k : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) \to (\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k})^\vee) \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}(1))$$

so that the restriction on the central fiber gives the Kodaira embedding. The embedding I_k is determined by the isomorphism $T_k : H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}) \to H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ which makes the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}) & \xrightarrow{I_k^*} & H^0(\mathcal{X}_\tau, \mathcal{L}_\tau^{\otimes k}) \\ {\scriptstyle \lambda^\vee(\tau)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda^\vee(\tau)} \\ H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}) & \xrightarrow[T_k]{} & H^0(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes k}) \end{array}$$

Such an isomorphism T_k derived from an equivariant embedding is called regular. Notice that the flatness assumption yields $H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}) \simeq H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ but we do not have a natural isomorphism. As a conlusion, equivariantly embedded test configuration is equivalent to 1-PS $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{GL}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes r})^\vee)$. If moreover the generator A_k is Hermitian with respect to the pull-back of a Hermitian inner product H_k on $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$, we call T_k Hermitian. For us the following existence theorem is important.

Theorem 2.1 ([Don05])**.** *Given a test configuration and a Hermitian inner product H_k on $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$, there exists a regular Hermitian isomorphism $T_k : H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}) \to H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$. Moreover, the pull-back $T_k^* H_k$ is independent of T_k. For two regular Hermitian isomorphisms T_k and T_k' there exists a unitary endomorphism U commutative with the generator A_k such that $T_k' = T_k \circ U$ holds.*

2.3. **Associated weak geodesic ray.** Here we briefly review the construction of the weak geodesic ray from a test configuration. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ be a test configuration with $\mathcal{X}$ normal. We rotate the reference metric φ by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action to adopt it as a boundary value of the Monge-Ampère equation:

$$(dd^c \Phi)^{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{X}_{|\tau| \leqslant 1}. \tag{2.2}$$

In [Berm12] the L^∞-solution was simply constructed as the Perron envelope of subsolutions. Regarding the computation of [Sem92], the associated $\varphi^t(x) := \Phi(\lambda(e^t)x)$ $t \in [-\infty, 0]$ defines a weak geodesic ray emanating from φ, where we have the canonical Mabuchi metric on the tangent space at φ. It coincides with the preceding construction of Phong and Sturm.

Theorem 2.2 ([PS07a], [PS07b], and [PS10]). *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ be a test configuration and φ a reference metric. For any sufficiently divisible $k \geqslant 1$ there exists an equivariant embedding*

$$I_k : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) \to (\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k})^\vee) \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}(1))$$

whose restriction on the central fiber gives the Kodaira embedding, and a basis $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{N_k} \subset H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ such that the followings hold.

(i) The basis is orthonormal for the L^2-Hermitian norm

$$\|s\|_{k\varphi}^2 := \int_X |s|^2 e^{-2k\varphi} \omega_\varphi^n.$$

(ii) The associated regular isomorphism $T_k : H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}) \to H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ is Hermitian in $\|\cdot\|_{k\varphi}^2$ and the trace-free part of the generator A_k is diagonalized to $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_{N_k})$ by the basis $\{T_k^* s_i\}_{i=1}^{N_k}$.

(ii) Setting

$$\varphi_k^t := \frac{1}{2k} \log \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} e^{2\lambda_i t} |s_i|^2,$$

The upper-semicontinuous regularized limit of the supremum

$$\varphi^t := (\lim_{r\to\infty} \sup_{k\geqslant r} \varphi_k^t)^*$$

defines a weak geodesic ray of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ for any $0 < \alpha < 1$. The initial point is $\varphi^0 = \varphi$.

The claim *(i)* and *(ii)* are the direct consequences of Theorem 2.1. In fact the above construction shows that

$$\varphi_k^t(x) = \Phi_{\mathrm{FS},k}(\lambda(e^t)x)$$

is the pull-back of a particularly chosen Fubini-Study weight on $\mathcal{L}$. If for a while we denote Φ_{PS} as the metric corresponding to φ^t of Theorem 2.2, it is at least defined on $\mathcal{X}_{\tau\neq 0}$. Let Φ_{B} be the bounded solution of (2.2). Since $\Phi_{\mathrm{FS},k}$ are defined over $\mathcal{X}_{|\tau|\leqslant 1}$ the comparison principle for the Monge-Ampère operator implies $\Phi_{\mathrm{FS},k} \leqslant \Phi_{\mathrm{B}}$ hence $\Phi_{\mathrm{PS}} \leqslant \Phi_{\mathrm{B}}$. Therefore Φ_{PS} is upper bounded so extended to a plurisubharmonic weight of $\mathcal{L}$, which satisfies the degenerated Monge-Ampère equation (2.2). Uniqueness of the solution now deduces $\Phi_{\mathrm{PS}} = \Phi_{\mathrm{B}}$.

3. Quantized orthogonal projection

3.1. **Proof of the main theorem.** We fix a reference metric $\omega = dd^c\varphi$ in $c_1(L)$ to consider the L^2-norm

$$\|s\|_{k\varphi}^2 := \int_X |s|^2 e^{-2k\varphi} \omega^n. \tag{3.1}$$

It defines a sequence of Hermitian inner product on the finite dimensional vector space quantization guideline Bergman construction

$$\varphi_k := \frac{1}{2k} \log \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} |s_i|^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Let us first examine the case which corresponds to a product test configuration. For the convenience we set $s = (s_1, \dots s_{N_k})$ and define s^* to be the adjoint.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that ω is invariant for the torus $S := N \otimes \mathbb{S}^1$ of $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. Let $v \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and denote the trace-free part of the generator for some lift by $B_k \in \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}))$. Take any orthonormal basis $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{N_k} \subset H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ for $\|\cdot\|_{k\varphi}$. Then, the normalized complex Hamilton function is described as*

$$u = \frac{s^* B_k s}{k s^* s} + O(k^{-1} \log k).$$

Proof. Since the identity is linear both in u and B_k we may assume that $v \in N$, namely, it derives from a 1-PS $\mu : \mathbb{C}^* \to Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. The Hamiltonian property $\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial} u = i_v \omega$ deduces

$$u = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \exp(tv)^* \varphi + c, \tag{3.3}$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We need to note that φ is a weight only locally defined on some trivialization patch. If one takes the trivialization in an equivariant way, it can be checked that the weight of the pull-backed fiber metric is written as

$$\exp(tv)^* \varphi + \log \left|\chi(e^t)\right|, \tag{3.4}$$

with a character χ. By the differentiation, however, the transition rule is canceled and hence the right-hand side of (3.3) is globally defined. Let us take the Bergman weight. By the Tian-Zelditch-Catlin asymptotic, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\varphi_k - Ck^{-1} \log k \leqslant \varphi \leqslant \varphi_k + Ck^{-1} \tag{3.5}$$

holds for any $k \geqslant 1$. Noting (2.1) and (3.4) we observe that the generator B'_k satisfies

$$\exp(tv)^* \varphi_k = \mu(e^t)^* \varphi_k = \frac{1}{2k} \log \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \left|\exp(tB'_k) s_i\right|^2.$$

The differentiation then yields

$$u - c = \frac{s^* B'_k s}{k s^* s} + O(k^{-1} \log k).$$

To determine the constant c, we choose the basis so that $\mu(\tau) s_i = \tau^{-\mu'_i} s_i$ holds. Then the trace-free part $B_k = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{N_k})$ can be compared with B'_k as

$$\frac{s^* B'_k s}{k s^* s} = \frac{\sum \mu'_i \left|s_i\right|^2}{k \sum \left|s_i\right|^2} = \frac{\sum \mu_i \left|s_i\right|^2}{k \sum \left|s_i\right|^2} + \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\, B'_k}{k N_k}.$$

Thus the proof is concluded with

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\, B'_k}{k N_k} \to \frac{1}{V} \int_X (u - c) \omega^n,$$

which is a consequence of the equivariant Chern-Weil theory ([AB84]). (It also follows from [His12] combined with [WN10]'s computation of the tangent for a product test configuration.) □

Let us go to the general case. Our proof is based on the following key lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let φ^t be a weak geodesic ray with $\varphi^0 = \varphi$, associated with a test configuration and φ_k^t be the Bergman geodesic ray of Phong-Sturm. Then L^p-convergence $\dot{\varphi}_k^0 \to \dot{\varphi}^0$ holds for any $p \geqslant 1$.*

Proof. First we show the L^1-convergence. We recall the inequality

$$\varphi_k^0 - Ck^{-1}\log k \leqslant \varphi^0 \leqslant \varphi_k^0 + Ck^{-1}$$

again. By the maximality of the solution of the Monge-Ampère equation the left-hand side yields $\varphi_k^t - Ck^{-1}\log k \leqslant \varphi^t$ for any $t > 0$ so that we derive

$$\varphi^t - \varphi^0 \geqslant \varphi_k^t - \varphi^0 - Ck^{-1}\log k \geqslant \varphi_k^t - \varphi_k^0 - Ck^{-1}(1+\log k).$$

Since both φ^t and φ_k^t are convex in t, it follows that

$$\dot{\varphi}^t \geqslant \dot{\varphi}_k^0 - C\frac{k^{-1}(1+\log k)}{t}.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ first, one has $\dot{\varphi}^t \geqslant \limsup_{k\to\infty} \dot{\varphi}_k^0$ and then $\dot{\varphi}^0 \geqslant \limsup_{k\to\infty} \dot{\varphi}_k^0$ follows from the $C^{1,\alpha}$-regularity of the weak geodesic ray. On the other hand, the convergence of the integral

$$\int_X \dot{\varphi}_k^0 \omega^n \to \int_X \dot{\varphi}^0 \omega^n$$

is well-known fact (*e.g.* a very special case of Theorem [His12]). It is then elementary to conclude the L^1-convergence by argument of measure theory. Actually one can apply Lemma 2.2 of [Berm06] directly to our situation.

Since $\dot{\varphi}^0$ and $\dot{\varphi}_k^0$ are uniformly bounded (by Lemma 3.1 of [PS07a]), we may choose a constant M_p such that $|\dot{\varphi}^0 - \dot{\varphi}_k^0|^{p-1} \leqslant M_p$ holds. Therefore the L^p-convergence follows from the estimate:

$$\int_X \left|\dot{\varphi}^0 - \dot{\varphi}_k^0\right|^p \omega^n = \int_X \left|\dot{\varphi}^0 - \dot{\varphi}_k^0\right|^{p-1} \left|\dot{\varphi}^0 - \dot{\varphi}_k^0\right| \omega^n \leqslant M_p \int_X \left|\dot{\varphi}^0 - \dot{\varphi}_k^0\right| \omega^n.$$

□

We elaborate on the idea of [Don05] and [PS07a] to relate the tangent vector of the weak geodesic ray to endomorphisms. Notice that it gives another proof of the main theorem in [His12] combining with the above lemma.

Proposition 3.3. *For any weak geodesic ray φ^t associated with a test configuration we have*

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X (\dot{\varphi}_k^0)^p \omega^n = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A_k)^p}{k^p N_k} + O(k^{-1}).$$

Moreover, if the test configuration is G-equivariant any $v \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}$ induces the the trace-free generator $B_k \in \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}))$ on the dual such that

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X \dot{\varphi}_k^0 u_v \omega^n = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\, A_k B_k}{k^2 N_k} + O(k^{-1}\log k)$$

holds.

Proof. We prove the second formula. The first one is much easier to show by the same argument. Let us take an equivariant embedding of the test configuration so that A_k and B_k are identified with the endomorphisms on $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$. We may further assume that A_k is Hermitian with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{k\varphi}$. Therefore it can be unitarily diagonalizable by some orthonormal basis $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{N_k}$. For the basis, the tangent of Phong-Sturm's Bergman construction is computed as

$$\dot{\varphi}_k^0 = \frac{s^* A_k s}{k s^* s}.$$

On the other hand, since the test configuration is G-equivariant the above B_k is equivalent to what directly induced by v hence we may apply Proposition 3.1 to have

$$u_v = \frac{s^* B_k s}{k s^* s} + O(k^{-1} \log k).$$

Setting

$$M_{k,ij} := \frac{1}{V} \int_X \frac{s_i \bar{s_j}}{\sum |s_i|^2} \omega^n,$$

we combine the two in the form:

$$\frac{1}{V} \int_X \dot{\varphi}_k^0 u_v \omega^n = \frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_k B_k M_k}{k^2} + O(k^{-1} \log k).$$

Asymptotic of the matrices M_k is computed by Tian-Zelditch-Catlin expansion for the Bergman kernel as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V M_{k,ij} &= \int_X s_i \bar{s_j} e^{-2k\varphi_k} \omega^n = \int_X s_i \bar{s_j} e^{-2k\varphi + (2k\varphi - 2k\varphi_k)} \omega^n \\ &= n! k^{-n} \delta_{ij} - n k^{n-1} \int_X s_i \bar{s_j} S_\omega e^{-2k\varphi} \omega^n + O(k^{-n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Here S_ω is the scalar curvature of the Kähler metric ω.

□

Remark 3.4. *For general two weak geodesic rays (each of which is associated with a different test configuration), the corresponding generators are not simultaneously diagonalizable hence one cannot describe them in a common orthonormal basis. Also, we fixed in the proof a very special equivariant embedding of a test configuration to identify A_k with a Hermitian operator on $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$. To define everything intrinsically on the central fiber, therefore, we need to assume the G-equivariance.*

Now we fix a G-equivariant test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ to consider the dual representation $\mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0))$. The image which we denote abuse of notation by $\mathfrak{g}_0$ then identified with the set of trace-free generators for vector fields. The canonical Killing form over $\mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0))$ is defined by taking trace of the two endomorphisms' composition and it is non-degenerate. It therefore defines the orthogonal projection $P_k : \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)) \to Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Notice that non-degeneracy is not the case for $N_{\mathbb{C}} = Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let us fix an equivariant embedding of a G-equivariant test configuration so that the generator A_k is identified with the operator on $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$. Let $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{N_k}$ be an orthonomal basis which diagonalizes A_k. Then the function*

$$f_k := \frac{s^* P_k(A_k) s}{k s^* s}$$

converges to $P(\dot{\varphi}^0)$ in the L^p-space, for any $p \geqslant 1$.

Proof. Since f_k and $P(\dot{\varphi}^0)$ are uniformly bounded, it is enough to show L^2-convergence. As in the proof of the previous proposition, we have

(i)

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X (f_k)^2 \omega^n = \frac{\operatorname{Tr} P_k(A_k)^2}{k^2 N_k} + O(k^{-1}) \quad \text{and}$$

(ii)

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X f_k u \omega^n = \frac{\operatorname{Tr} P_k(A_k) B_k}{k^2 N_k} + O(k^{-1}\log k),$$

for any $u \in \operatorname{Ham}_0(Z(\mathfrak{g}_0), \omega)$. Let us take an L^2-orthonomal basis u_j $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant d)$ of $\operatorname{Ham}_0(Z(\mathfrak{g}_0), \omega)$. By the definition of L^2-orthogonal projection we immediately have

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X P(\dot{\varphi}^0)^2 \omega^n = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{V}\int_X \dot{\varphi}^0 u_j \omega^n \right)^2.$$

Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 implies

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X \dot{\varphi}^0 u_j \omega^n = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_k B_k}{k^2 N_k},$$

so that the linearity yields

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X P(\dot{\varphi}^0)^2 \omega^n = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} P_k(A_k)^2}{k^2 N_k}.$$

Then the above formula (i) claims that the L^2-norm of f_k converges to that of $P(\dot{\varphi}^0)$. We may deal with $f_k P(\dot{\varphi}^0)$ in a similar manner using

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X f_k P(\dot{\varphi}^0) \omega^n = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(\frac{1}{V}\int_X \dot{\varphi}^0 u_j \omega^n \right) \frac{1}{V}\int_X f_k u_j \omega^n.$$

Indeed the above (ii) concludes that the left-hand side converges to the norm of $P(\dot{\varphi}^0)$. We thus have

$$\int_X (f_k - P(\dot{\varphi}^0))^2 \omega^n \to 0.$$

□

Theorem A is now a direct consequence of the above proposition, if one adopts the same argument as Proposition 3.3 using Tian-Zelditch-Catlin expansion.

3.2. **Definition of the reduced norm.** We take over the setting of the previous subsection. Let us set $P^\perp := \mathrm{id} - P$ as the projection to the orthogonal complement. The previous argument shows that the function

$$g_k := \frac{s^* P_k^\perp(A_k) s}{k s^* s}$$

converges to $P^\perp(\dot\varphi^0)$ in L^p-space. Thus for a G-equivariant test configuration and any integer $p \geqslant 1$ we obtain the description

$$\|(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})\|_{p/\mathfrak{g}}^p := \frac{1}{V}\int_X \left|P^\perp(\dot\varphi^0)\right|^p \omega^n = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\, P_k^\perp(A_k)^p}{k^2 N_k}.$$

Notice that in particular the left-hand side is independent of the reference metric φ.

Let us study about much easier way to define the norm for G-equivariant test configurations and compare it with our previous definition. I learned the former in communication with R. Berman and M. Jonsson. When a test configuration $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})$ is G-equivariant, any one-parameter subgroup genearated by $v \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ induces an action μ_k on the central fiber. Then the trace-free parts of the generators A_k and B_k, for λ_k and μ_k are simultaneously diagonalizable with eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_{N_k})$ and $(\mu_1, \dots \mu_{N_k})$. Let us define the norm with respect to v as

$$\|(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})\|_{p/v}^p := \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k^p N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} |\lambda_i + \mu_i|^p . \tag{3.6}$$

The definition further extends to any vector field $v \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}} := N \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by homogenuity. In any case the limit exists and described as

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X \left|\dot\varphi^0 + u_v\right|^p \omega^n.$$

In fact by Hausdorff's theorem it is enough to show the moment convergence

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X (\dot\varphi^0 + u_v)^p \omega^n = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A_k + B_k)^p}{k^p N_k}$$

for integral $p \geqslant 1$. In view of Proposition 3.3, this follows from the L^p-convergence $\dot\varphi_k^0 + u_v \to \dot\varphi^0 + u_v$ of Lemma 3.2. One may observe from the analytic description that the norm is continuous in v, Therefore the definition extends to $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}$ by density. Finally we show:

Proposition 3.6. *Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}^0(X,L)$ be a reductive subgroup and $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})$. Then restricted to G-equivariant test configurations, the reduced norm is equivalent to the infimum norm for any $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$. In fact there exists a positive constant δ such that*

$$\delta \left\|(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})\right\|_{p/\mathfrak{g}} \leqslant \inf_{v\in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}} \left\|(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})\right\|_{p/v} \leqslant \left\|(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})\right\|_{p/\mathfrak{g}}$$

holds for any G-equivariant test configuration.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Ham}_0(Z(\mathfrak{g}_0),\omega)$ is finite dimensitonal, $P^\perp(\dot\varphi^0)$ can be written to the form $\dot\varphi + u_v$ for some $v \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore

$$\inf_{v\in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}} \left\|(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})\right\|_{p/v} \leqslant \left\|(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})\right\|_{p/\mathfrak{g}}$$

is immediate. When $p = 2$ the converse is also clear. For the rest we may show that there exists a positive constant C such that for any L^p-function f

$$\left\|P^{\perp}(f)\right\|_p \leqslant C \left\|f\right\|_p$$

holds. Since $P^{\perp}(\dot{\varphi}^0) = P^{\perp}(\dot{\varphi}^0 + u_v)$, we may finish the proof applying the estimate to $f = \dot{\varphi}^0 + u_v$. By the triangle inequality

$$\left\|P^{\perp}(f)\right\|_p \leqslant \left\|f\right\|_p + \left\|f - P^{\perp}(f)\right\|_p = \left\|f\right\|_p + \left\|P(f)\right\|_p,$$

it is equivalent to show

$$\left\|P(f)\right\|_p \leqslant C \left\|f\right\|_p.$$

The left-hand side is bounded from above by $\left\|P(f)\right\|_2$ because X is compact. For a fixed orthonormal basis $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^d$ of $\mathrm{Ham}_0(Z(\mathfrak{g}_0), \omega)$ it is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|P(f)\right\|_2 &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(\int_X f u_j \omega^n\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \\ &\leqslant d \cdot \max_j \left|\int_X f u_j \omega^n\right| \leqslant d \cdot \max_j \left\|u_j\right\|_q \left\|f\right\|_p,\end{aligned}$$

where $q \geqslant 2$ is the Hölder conjugate exponent to p.

□

Definition 3.7. *(*[Szé06] *for a maximal torus case) We say that a polarized manifold (X, L) with a fixed subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}^0(X, L)$ is* uniformly K-stable relative to G*, if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\mathrm{DF}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geqslant \delta \left\|(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})\right\|_{1/\mathfrak{g}} \tag{3.7}$$

holds for any G-equivariant normal test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$.

When G is trivial, it is equivalent to the usual K-stability condition. The non-uniform version is often called relative K-stability and intensively studied by many authors. In particular, [DSz15] obtained a Kähler-Einstein metric from this non-uniform condition. We expect that for a reductive subgroup $G = K_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}^0(X, L)$ our uniform K-stability relative to G is equivalent to the coercivity estimate

$$M(\varphi) \geqslant \delta \inf_{g \in C(G)} J(\varphi_g) - C, \tag{3.8}$$

for any positively curved K-invariant metric φ. It was shown by [DR15] that (3.8) in fact holds for Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds when the center of $G = \mathrm{Aut}^0(X, L)$ is trivial. Also in this analytic side the restriction to K-invariant metrics does not lose the generality because any constant scalar curvature Kähler metric is invariant for some maximal compact subgroup, by the theorem of Matsushima-Lichnerowicz. Finally, we notice that there exists another coercivity condition which requires

$$M(\varphi) \geqslant \delta \inf_{g \in G} J(\varphi_g) - C \tag{3.9}$$

for any positively curved metric. The latter coercivity holds for general constant scalar curvature Kähler manifolds, as it was very recently shown by [BDL16].

3.3. **Examples.**

Example 3.8 (Toric polarization)**.** *Let (X, L) be a toric polarized manifold, defined by a moment polytope P on the lattice M. Here $G/\mathbb{C}^*$ is chosen to be the maximal torus $\mathbb{T}$. In this case, a $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant test configuration is equivalent to so-called toric test configuration and it is represented by a rational piecewise-linear convex funtion f on P. The class of test configurations was intensively studied in* [Don02]*. We have $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and N is the dual lattice of M. Each vector field $v \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is given by the affine function ℓ on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Following* [Don02] *one can compute to check*

$$\|(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})\|_{p/v} = \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_P |f + \ell|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

See also [WN10] *for general case in terms of the Okounkov body. After all Proposition 3.6 shows that our introducing reduced norm is equivalent to G. Székelyhidi's original definition for the toric setting.*

Example 3.9 (Deformation to the normal cone)**.** *Any deformation to the normal cone of G-invariant ideal $\mathcal{J}$ (or the associated subscheme V) defines a G-equivariant test configuration. The space $\mathcal{X}$ is given as the normalized blow-up of $X \times \mathbb{C}$ by the ideal $\mathcal{J} + \tau\mathcal{O}$. It is endowed with the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action trivial on the $\mathcal{J}$-blow up X' of X. The action of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ to the exceptional divisor $P = \mathbb{P}(N_{V/X} \oplus \mathcal{O}_V)$ is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$. For each $g \in G$ the restriction of the induced G-action on $\mathcal{X}$ to P is written as $\begin{pmatrix} g_* & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then for a sufficiently small $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $\mathcal{L} := p^*L - cP$ defines an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle so that the construction produces a large amount of G-equivariant test configurations.*

For any 1-PS to the center, the same family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ with the action to P by $\begin{pmatrix} \mu(\alpha)_* & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ defines a G-equivariant test configuration $(\mathcal{X}_\mu, \mathcal{L}_\mu)$.

4. Characterization of product test configurations

In this section we assume that the reduced norm is zero, to show the productness of a G-equivariant test configuration. By the definition of the reduced norm it implies that $\dot{\varphi}^0 \in \mathrm{Ham}_0(Z(\mathfrak{g}_0), \omega)$. Let us denote the associated generator by $B_k \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}))$. The problem here is that we do not a priori know whether the generator A_k of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ coincides with B_k.

Lemma 4.1. *The Hamilton function $\dot{\varphi}^0$ corresponds to an element of $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. The essence here is the rationality of the Riemann-Roch coefficients and the following argument seems familiar one to the experts, but I would like to write down the detail for the convenience to readers. We denote the the Hamilton vector field for $\dot{\varphi}^0$ by $v \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We may take an orthogonal basis $v_1, \ldots, v_d$ for $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that each v_j $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant d)$ derives from a 1-PS. The associated generators $B_{j,k} \in \mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k}))$ are commutative to each other. Let us denote the real parts of the corresponding normalized Hamilton functions by $u_1, \ldots, u_d$.

As we took $B_{j,k}$ from some 1-PS, the right-hand side of the formula

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_X \dot{\varphi}^0 u_j \omega^n = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_k B_{j,k}}{k^2 N_k}$$

can be described as a characteristic number hence it is a rational number for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d$. We must use A_k instead of B_k which is a priori not rational. Actually one can apply the equivariant Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula (see [EG98] and [EG00], or [BHJ15], Appendix B) to $A_k + B_{j,k}$, A_k, and $B_{j,k}$, each of which derives from a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action to $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. For the induced action to $H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes k})$ sum of the weights like $\operatorname{Tr} A_k$ appears in the degree two term in the equivariant cohomology $H^*_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathrm{pt}; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[t]$. The square sum of the weights like $\operatorname{Tr} A_k^2$ appears in the degree four term. Finally the above trace can be expressed as

$$2 \operatorname{Tr} A_k B_{j,k} = \operatorname{Tr}(A_k + B_{j,k})^2 - \operatorname{Tr} A_k^2 - \operatorname{Tr} B_{j,k}^2.$$

The right-hand side is a polynomial in k, which has integer coefficients. In conclusion, $\dot{\varphi}^0$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of $u_1, \dots u_d$. Since each of the vector fields $v_1, \dots v_d$ derives from a 1-PS and is attached to the torus, we conclude the claim.

□

Now we may assume that $\dot{\varphi}^0$ is further corresponds to a 1-PS to $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, by replacing $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ with its base change by $\tau \mapsto \tau^d$. In what follows we shall prove $A_1 = B_1$. We may as well assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is sufficiently relatively ample after the line bundle is replaced with its high tensor power. We then set $A := A_1$ and $B := B_1$.

Let us consider the test configuration $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{L}')$ which is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ as a family but the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action is given by $A - B$. In fact, for any fixed equivariant embedding of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ the same $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{L}')$ is constructed by taking the Zariski closure of the orbit in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)^\vee)$. Moreover the generator for $\mathfrak{sl}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_0', (\mathcal{L}_0')^{\otimes k}))$ is given by $(A-B)_k = A_k - B_k$. At the end we compute the (non-reduced) norm of this test configuration. It decomposes into

$$\|(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{L}')\|_2^2 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A_k - B_k)^2}{k^2 N_k} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_k^2 - 2 \operatorname{Tr} A_k B_k - \operatorname{Tr} B_k^2}{k^2 N_k}.$$

For the first term we already have

$$\int_X (\dot{\varphi}_k^0)^2 \omega^n = \frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_k^2}{k^2 N_k} + O(k^{-1}).$$

Since $\dot{\varphi}^0$ is the real part of a Hamilton function, the second term has the expression:

$$\int_X \dot{\varphi}_k^0 \dot{\varphi}^0 \omega^n = \frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_k B_k}{k^2 N_k} + O(k^{-1} \log k).$$

Finally the equivariant Chern-Weil theory translates the third term as

$$\int_X (\dot{\varphi}^0)^2 \omega^n = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} B_k^2}{k^2 N_k}.$$

Combining these with Lemma 3.2 we deduce $\|(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{L}')\|_2 = 0$. It then follows from [BHJ15] that $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{L}')$ is trivial. In particular $A_1 = B_1$ holds.

□

References

[AB84] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott: *The moment map and equivariant cohomology.* Topology 23 (1984), no. **1**, 1–28. 8

[Berm06] R. Berman: *Super Toeplitz operators on line bundles.* J. Geom. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 1, 1–22. 9

[Berm12] R. J. Berman: *K-polystability of Q-Fano varieties admitting Kahler–Einstein metrics.* `arXiv:1205.6214`. 6

[BBJ15] R. J. Berman, S. Boucksom, and M. Jonsson: *A variational approach to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture.* `arXiv:1509.04561`. 3

[BDL16] R. J. Berman, T. Darvas, and C. H. Lu: *Regularity of weak minimizers of the K-energy and applications to properness and K-stability.* `arXiv:1602.03114`. 3, 13

[Bern09] B. Berndtsson: *Probability measures related to geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics.* `arXiv:0907.1806`.

[BEGZ10] S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, and A. Zeriahi: *Monge–Ampère equations in big cohomology classes.* Acta Math. **205** (2010), no. 2, 199–262.

[BHJ15] S. Boucksom T. Hisamoto and M. Jonsson: *Uniform K-stability, Duistermaat-Heckman measures and singularities of pairs.* `arXiv:1504.06568`. 3, 4, 15

[BHJ16] S. Boucksom T. Hisamoto and M. Jonsson: *Uniform K-stability and asymptotics of energy functionals in Kähler geometry.* `arXiv:1603.01026`. 3

[CS16] G. Codogni and J. Stoppa: *Torus equivariant K-stability.* `arXiv:  arXiv:1602.03451`. 4

[CT08] X. Chen and Y. Tang: *Test configuration and geodesic rays.* Astérisque No. **321** (2008), 139–167.

[DR15] T. Darvas and Y. A. Rubinstein: *Tian's properness conjectures and Finsler geometry of the space of Kähler metrics.* `arXiv:1506.07129`. 3, 13

[Der14a] R. Dervan: *Uniform stability of twisted constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics.* `arXiv:1412.0648`. Int. Math. Res. Notices **15** (2016), 4728–4783. 3, 4

[Der14b] R. Dervan: *Alpha invariants and coercivity of the Mabuchi functional on Fano manifolds.* Ann. Fac. Sci Toulouse Math. (6) **25** (2016) no. 4, 919–934. 3

[Don02] S. K. Donaldson: *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties.* J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), no. 2, 289–349. 5, 14

[Don05] S. K. Donaldson: *Lower bounds on the Calabi functional.* J. Differential Geom. **70** (2005), no. 3, 453–472. 6, 9

[DSz15] V. Datar and G. Székelyhidi. *Kähler-Einstein metrics along the smooth continuity method.* `arXiv:1506.07495`. 13

[EG98] D. Edidin and W. Graham. *Equivariant intersection theory.* Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 3, 595–634. 15

[EG00] D. Edidin and W. Graham. *Riemann-Roch for equivariant Chow groups.* Duke Math. J.**102** (2000), no. 3, 567–594. 15

[FM95] A. Futaki and T. Mabuchi: *Bilinear forms and extremal Kähler vector fields associated with Kähler classes.* Math. Ann. **301** (1995), no. 2, 199–210. 4

[His12] T. Hisamoto: *On the limit of spectral measures associated to a test configuration of a polarized Kähler manifold.* `arXiv:1211.2324`, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.. 3, 8, 9

[LX11] C. Li and C. Xu: *Special test configurations and K-stability of Fano varieties.* Ann. of Math. **180** (2014) no. 1, 197–232. 5

[Mab90] T. Mabuchi: *An algebraic character associated with the Poisson brackets.* Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry, 339–358, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **18**-I, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[PS07a] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm: *Test configurations for K-stability and geodesic rays.* J. Symplectic Geom. **5** (2007), no. 2, 221–247. 7, 9

[PS07b] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm: *On the regularity of geodesic rays associated to test configurations.* arXiv:0707.3956. 7

[PS10] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm: *Regularity of geodesic rays and Monge-Ampère equations.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 10, 3637–3650. 7

[RWN11] J. Ross and D. Witt Nyström: *Analytic test configurations and geodesic rays.* J. Symplectic Geom. **12** (2014), no. 1, 125–169.

[Sem92] S. Semmes: *Complex Monge–Ampère and symplectic manifolds.* Amer. J. Math. 114 (1992), no. **3**, 495–550. 6

[Szé06] G. Székelyhidi: *Extremal metrics and K-stability.* arXiv:0611002. Ph.D Thesis. 1, 2, 3, 13

[WN10] D. Witt Nyström: *Test configurations and Okounkov bodies.* Compositio Math. **148** (2012), 1736–1756. 8, 14

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusa, Nagoya, Japan

E-mail address: hisamoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp